Due March 1, 2018

Reading

Peskin & Schroeder Sections 9.2-9.6

Suggested further reading: Weinberg Volume 1, Sections 9.4-9.5

Problems

1. Gauge slicing with a toy model. Consider the integral,

$$I(a) = \int d^2x \, e^{-f(r)} \,, \tag{1}$$

where $f(r) = ar^2/2$. Here rotational symmetry is an analog of gauge symmetry. Recall that we inserted the identity,

$$1 = \int d\phi \,\delta[g(\mathbf{r}_{\phi})] \left| \frac{\partial g(\mathbf{r}_{\phi})}{\partial \phi} \right| \,, \tag{2}$$

with $g(\mathbf{r}) = \theta - \theta_0$, to isolate one of the equivalent "gauge slices" (at $\theta = \theta_0$). Perform the analysis using instead

$$g(\mathbf{r}) = \theta - \frac{\pi}{2}e^{-r^2}.$$
(3)

2. Grassmann variables. Consider the integral, for a Grassman algebra generated by independent θ_i and $\bar{\theta}_i$,

$$I(a) = \int d\theta_1 d\bar{\theta}_1 \dots d\theta_n d\bar{\theta}_n \exp\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N \bar{\theta}_i a_{ij} \theta_j\right].$$
(4)

Compute I(a) in two ways, first by explicitly expanding the exponential, and second by considering the variable change $\theta'_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \theta_j$.

2. Gratuitous ghosts. Consider a free scalar lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 \,. \tag{5}$$

- a) Argue that $\int [d\phi]\phi(x)e^{iS(\phi)} = 0.$
- b) Now consider the change of variables,

$$\phi = B + gB^2 \,, \tag{6}$$

where g is a small coupling. Find the lagrangian in terms of B to first order in g. Find the Jacobian for the change of variables,

$$[d\phi] = [dB] \det \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial B}, \qquad (7)$$

and write the determinant as a path integral over ghosts.

c) Find the diagrams that contribute to the quantity

$$\int [dB][d\bar{\eta}][d\eta](B+gB^2)\exp[iS(B,\bar{\eta},\eta)],\qquad(8)$$

at first order in g. Compute the diagrams and show that the answer from part (a) is recovered.

3. Gauge fixing. Use the Fadeev Popov ansatz to find the Feynman rules for QED using gauge-fixing function $f(A) = n \cdot A$, where n^{μ} is a light-like vector, $n^2 = 0$. Is the resulting theory Lorentz invariant?